

# UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME



UNDP

Distr.  
LIMITED

DP/1982/BFC/L.3/Add.2  
15 June 1982

Original: ENGLISH

GOVERNING COUNCIL  
BUDGETARY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE  
Twenty-ninth session  
June 1982

## REPORT OF THE BUDGETARY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Rapporteur: Mr. Finn Norman Christensen (Denmark)

### Chapter I

#### MATTERS REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE BY THE PLENARY

#### G. Illustrative indicative planning figures and Special Programme Resources

1. For consideration of the financial aspects involved with respect to item 5 of the Council's agenda, the Committee had before it document DP/1982/21 and DP/1982/21/Add.2. The documents contained proposals for changes in certain individual country IPFs in response to operative paragraph 3(b) of decision 81/16, as well as proposals for the use of 1982-1986 Special Programme Resources.

#### Summary of the discussion

2. The Deputy Administrator introduced the document and highlighted the fact that the amount originally indicated for the 1977-1981 IPF cycle for "Future participants, etc." had been exceeded by \$816,000 as a result of the achievement of independence by Antigua and Belize, following which their 1977-1981 IPFs had been automatically increased by the independence bonus established by the Governing Council, but that this deficit could be charged against the unused portion of the 1977-1981 Programme Reserve. He mentioned furthermore, that because of the revised 1977-1981 IPFs for Antigua and Belize their 1982-1986 illustrative IPFs had increased due to the "floor" rule, and new 1982-1986 illustrative IPFs for these two countries were recommended to the Governing Council. For those countries which had been given only interim illustrative 1982-1986 IPFs, it was now possible to recommend final illustrative IPFs for Equatorial Guinea and Iran. For Lebanon, UNDP was actively collaborating with the World Bank to obtain necessary statistical data. For Democratic Kampuchea, however, it had not been possible to obtain the necessary data. For these countries it was recommended that their interim IPFs should remain in effect. The Deputy Administrator also reported that revised data from the World Bank had resulted in a recommendation for revised illustrative IPFs for the Central African Republic and for the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen.

3. In response to a question as to why the revised statistical information submitted to UNDP regarding Uganda had not resulted in any new recommendation for this country, the Deputy Administrator reminded the Committee that the base year for calculating the illustrative IPFs was 1978. The submitted data for 1978 was identical to the data already used for calculating the 1982-1986 illustrative IPF for Uganda. No change was therefore called for.

4. The Committee decided to recommend approval of the recommendation contained in paragraphs 2, 4, 5, and 6 of DP/1982/21, and took note of the Administrator's actions as outlined in paragraph 9.

---