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1. In accordance with the provisions of rule 111.6 of the Financial Regulations and Ruies of the United Nations Fund for Population Activities (DP/36), the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions has considered the Executive Director's budget estimates for the administrative and programme support services of the Fund for the year 1981 and supplementary appropriations for the year 1980 ( $\mathrm{DP} / 483$ ). During its consideration of the estimates the Committee met with the Executive Director of the Fund and his senior assistants.

## Form of presentation

2. The form of presentation of the Jund's administrative and programme support services budget estimates for $1981(D P / 483)$ is broady similar to that of the estimates for 1980 (DP/398). The Advisory Comittee notes that in response to its observations 1 / the Rxecutive Director has included in his estimates actual workload data for 1979 which may be compared with the workload projected for 1980-1981. The Comittee welcomes this development. At the same time it believes that the re is room for additional refinenent. For example, there is potential for confusion in the presentation of non-staff costs. The tables which show breakdowns by object of expenditure for individual programes of the fund. include the heading "other service costs". 2f In response to Inquiries the Advisory Committee was informed that the heading covered permanent equipment, other general expenses, and reimbursenent to the United Nations and UNDP. Yet, as can be seen in Annex $A$, Tables I and 2 of the document, the above three objects of expenditure are shown separately in Sections 4,5 and 6 respectively. For ease of reference and somparability, the Advisory Comittee recommends that in future the tables providing breakdoms by object of expenditure of individual programes should be consistent with the sumary tables.

I/ DP/4I3, para. 3.
2f See Tables I-1, 2, 5, 6, 10, 12, 13 and 17 of doeument DP/483.
3. In its report to the Governing Council on the Fund's administrative and programe support services budget estimates for 1979. 3/ the Advisory Comittee had recomnended that considerations be given to the possibility of adapting the Fund's organizational nomenclature to that of the United Nations. In paragraph 5 of document $D P / 483$, the Executive Director refers to developments to date in that regard and states his intention to submit a report on organizational and nomenclature changes in the Fund "at the next (28th) session of the Governing Council". In the interests of uniformity with other parts of the United Nations, the Advisory Committee recommends that the views of the Administrative Management Service could be sought before any further changes in nomenclature are introduced.
A. Supplementary appropriations for the 1980 administrative and programme support budget
4. In paragraph 14 of document $D P / 483$ the Exocutive Director recommends that the Governing Council approve supplementary estimates in the amount of $\$ 100,000$ for the 1980 administrative and programme support services budget. This corresponds to an increase of 1.5 per cent over the total approved appropriation of $\$ 6,672,697$ for 1980. He ascribes the entire request to unforeseen expenditures in connexion With the move of the UNPPA Headquarters into new premises by the end of August 1980 ( $\mathrm{DP} / 483$, paragraph 15 ). In paragraph 16 the Executive Director explains that the proposed move is due to (a) the refusal of the management of the building in which UNFPA is currently located to extend the present lease for some 34,840 square feet of office space on acceptable terms and (b) the non-availability of additional office space in this building to accommodate the expanding activities of the Fund.
5. In response to incuiries the representatives of the Executive Director informed the Advisory Committee that the appropriation for rental and maintenance of premises in 1980 is $\$ 507,000$. Projected expenditure in 1980 is now estimated by the Executive Director as follows:

1. "Rental and maintenance at 485 Lexington Avenue: January - 30 August 1980

Rental of 34,842 square feet at $\$ 8.30 / \mathrm{sq}$. ft.

| 192,792 |
| ---: |
| 3,500 |
| 6,000 |
| 202,292 |

2. Rental and maintenance at 345 East 46th Street: 1 March - 31 December 1980 Temporary space of 2,500 square feet ( $67 / \mathrm{sq}$.ft.) , 36,267
3. Estimated cost of rental and maintenance of nev premises: I September - 31 December 1980
New office space estimated at 55,000 square feet at $\$ 18.00$ per sq . ft.
\%330,000
Total
\$568,559

3/ DP/344, para. 10 .

The representatives of the Executive Director have informed the Committee that although the present lease at 485 Lexington Avenue expires on 30 August, if UNFPA remains for some additional months in 1980 at the present premises, it might be.. possible to meet the 1980 rental and mointenance costs within the present appropriations.
6. The Advisory Comittee notes that the UNFPA proposes to rent in the new. premises approximately 50 per cent more space than it now occupies ( 55,000 sq. feet as against $37,342 \mathrm{sq}$. feet). In response to inquiries the representatives of the Executive Director inforned the Advisory Committee that the Programme needed nearly 40,000 square feet in 1980, as follows:

1980 Office Space Requirements

1. Offices
1 USG

1 ASG ) 400
2. $\mathrm{D}-2$ )

8 D-1 ) 1380
11. P-5 ) $=157$ posts
$55 \mathrm{P}-1 / \mathrm{P}-4$ )
$25 \mathrm{G}-5$ )
54. $G-1 / G-4$ )

12 Consultants
12 Temporary Clexks
2. Service Rooms

1 Large conference room 2800
4 Small conference roons 2450
5 Small storage rooms (Office Supplies
and miscelleneous)
5 Small office machine rooms (xerox, etc.) 430
1 Mail Room 280
1 Printing Room 230
1 Library $\quad 2500$
1 Women's Lounce 280
1 Staff Lounge . $\quad 85010300$
3. Common Area
7. For 1981, based on the Executive Director's request to establish 22 new posts (see paragraph 15 below) and reclassify 19 existing posts (see paragraph 16 below), a total space requirement of sone 48,000 square feet has been projected as follows:

1981 Office Space Reguirements

1. Offices
2. USG (including reception area) )

2 ASG (including waiting area) ) I 200
I D-2 ) 280
$10 \mathrm{D}-1$.................................. 1730
$13 \mathrm{P}-5$ ) $=179$ posts
10 P-4 (Supervisory Posts) )
$49 \mathrm{P}-1 / \mathrm{P}-4$ )
$30 \mathrm{G}-5$ )
$63 \mathrm{G}-1 / \mathrm{G}-4 \quad)$
12 Consultants
12 Temporary Clerks 1050

2240

1. 730

7600
3230
5430
2100
Area (Sq. ft. )

- 800
- 

$1050 \quad 27390$

## 2. Service Rooms

1 Large conference room
3100

5 Small conference rooms 3050
5 Small storage roons 430
5 Snall office machine rooms 430
1 Mail roon 330
1 Printing roon 280
I Docunents roon 280
I Library (including reading area) 3500
I Women's Lounge . . 280
1 Staff Lounge
3. Common Area
9. The Advisory Comittee recomends that the proposed allocation of space in the new premises be reviewed with the assistance of the United Nations Offie of General Services to ensure compliance with United Nations standards of office accommodation. The Advisory Comnittee wecomnends further that such space as will not be inmediately required on the basis of those standards be sublet to other United Nations users.
10. As was stated in paragraph 5 above, the Executive Director believes that it might be possible to meet the 1980 rental and maintenance costs within the existing appropriation. His represcntatives informed the Committee that the supplementary estimate of $\$ 100,000$ covered the actual moving expenses and the installation of telex, telephones and intercon systems, electrical outlets and other electrical installations, carpentry, carpeting, curtains and other miscellaneous installation charges. The Advisory Committee has no objection to the Executive Director's request for $\$ 100,000$.

## B. Budget estimates for 1981

11. The Fund's administrative and programe support services buciget for 1981 is estimated at $\$ 8,521,147$ net (DP/483, para. 11). The following table provides a comparison by programe of the Fund's administrative and programme support services budget estimates for 1981 with the net appropriations for 1980 and expenditures in 1979 4/.
12. The methodology used in the preparation of the estimates for 1981 is described in paragraphs 10 to 13 of document DP/483. In this regard, the Advisory Committee notes that according to the Dxecutive Director the application of a lower turmover deduction rate to the cost of salaries for 1981 (4 per cent as against 5 per cent in the 1980 budget estimates) is "based on prion experience". (DP/483, paragraph 10).
13. As can be seen from the table following paragraph 12 above, the Fund's estimates for $191(0,521,147)$ are $1,843,450$ or 27.7 per cent more than the appropriation for $1980(3,6,672,697)$ and $3,189,920$ or 59.8 per cent more than the budget for $1979(\$ 5,331,227)$. Of the total increase of $\$ 1,848,450$ over 1980 the Executive Director ascribes $\$ 1,172,064$ to real increases and $\$ 675,486$ to cost increases ( $D P / 483$, Table D). The bulk of the increase is attributable to salaries and common staff costs for new and reclassified posts (see paras. 14-16 below), other operating expenses and reimbursement to the United Nations and UNDP. The largest items contributing to the real increase under "other operating expenses" are rental and maintenance of premises, commuications, computer services and contractual printing (DF/403, Annex A, Table 2, Section 5).
14. The cost of the 22 nev posts ( 3 professional and 14 general service) and 19 reclassifications ( 15 in the professional category and 4 to the principal level in the general service category) proposed by the Executive Director anounts to approximately $\$ 567,000$.
15. A comparison by object of expenditure is given in Annex $A$, Tables 1 and 2, of document DP/483.

a/ Does not include \$100,000 supplementary appropriation recuestec for 1980 in paragraphs 14 to 16 of document DP/483.
16. The twenty-two new posts being requested in document $D P / 483$ are as follows: I. Executive direction and management
one P-2/1 Assistant Reports Officer
one $\mathrm{G}-4 / 2$ Clerk/tynist
(para. 28 (aj)
(para. $28(\mathrm{a})$
II. Administration and public information support services

Administration and Finance Division
one P-3 Administrative Officer
one P-2/] Assistant Adainistrative/Finance Officer one G-5 Principal accounts clerk
four $G-4 / 2$ Administrative and finance clerks (para. 33 (c))
one $G-2$ Messenger
Information and Public Affairs Division
one $G-4 / 2$ Distribution clerk
(para. 38)
III. Programme planning, appraisal and monitoring

Progranme Division
one P-4 Plaming and Operations Officer
(para. 43 (a))
three P-2/1 Programe officers in geographical branches
(para. 43 (b))
five $G-4 / 2$ Programe clerks in geographical branches
(para. 43 (c))
Office of Policy Analysis and Statistics
one $\mathrm{P}-2 / 1$ Assistent Policy Research Officer
one $\mathrm{G}-4 / 2$ Clerl/trypist
$($ para. 46)
16. The following 19 reclassifications are proposed in document $\mathrm{DP} / 483$.
I. Executive direction and managenent
two P-3 to P-A: Bxtemal Relations Officer (para. 28 (b)) \#/
Assistant to the Deputy Bxecutive Director
(para. $28(\mathrm{~b})$ ) $\%$
one G-4 to G-5: Adainistrative and fund-raising reports and tabulation assistant (para. 28 (c)) 笑
II. Administration and public information support services

Administration and Finance Division
one P-2 to $\mathrm{P}-3$ : Finance Officer
two $G-4$ to $G-5:$ Pinance assistant
Senior accounts clerk
(para. 33 (e) ) $\frac{*}{*}$
$($ para. $33(e)\{$
$($ para. $33(e))$

Information and Public Affairs Division

## III. Programe nlanning, ampaisal end monitoring

## Programe Division

one $1-2$ to Assistant socretary-General:
Assistant Executive Director (para. 9.(a) to (j))
two P-5 to U-I: Chiofe of gomaphical
branches (Africa, liediterranean and Middio Bast) (para. 43 (d))
one P-4 to P-5: Technical Officer (poplation oducation and communication) (para. 43 (e)) \%/
three $\mathrm{P}-4$ to $\mathrm{P}-5$ : Senion Progranne Officers (Dara. 43 (f))
four $\mathrm{P}-3$ to $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{A}:$ Programe Officors, geographical branches (para. $43(f)$ ) $\because / 3$
one P-2 to P-3: Programme Officer, Latin Amorica branch
$($ para. $43(1)) * /$
*/These 11 roclassification requests had been included in the Fund's budget estimates for 1980 (DP/398) but vere not aprovod by the Coverning Council (decision 79/80, Part II, operative paragran I).
17. In the following taible, the staffing proposals for administrative and programe support services in 1981 are compared by grade with the approved staffing tables for 1980 and 1979.

Level
USG

ASG
D-2
D-1
P-5
P-4
$\mathrm{P}-3$ 16

| $P-2 / 1$ | $\frac{18}{86}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sub-total | 80 |
| $G-5$ | 30 |
| Cub-total | -63 |
|  | 93 |

Total $\overline{179}$

Percentage increase
ovor previous year:

1981

1
2
1
10
13
25

179

14\%

| 1980 |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1979 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 8 | 2 |
| 11 | 6 |
| 22 | 13 |
| 19 | 20 |
| 14 | 18 |
| 78 | 12 |
| 25 | 73 |
| 54 | 19 |
| 79 | -51 |
| 157 | 70 |

## Observations of the Advisory Committee

18. In baragraph 12 of its report (DP/413) to the Governing Council on the Fund's buderet estimates for 1080 , the Advisory Committee observed that:
"The Administrative and Programe Support Services of UNFPA provide the essential administrative backing for tho Fund's projects in the field. While there is no fixed nunerical relationship between the two, growth in the size and number of ficld projects leads to increased requirenents in terms of administrative and programe support services. At the same time, care must be taken to ensure that growth in the latter does not outstrip growth in field pojects, for othervise the proportion of resources available for the execution of projects will decline".

The Advisory Comittee bore these considerations in mind whon it examined the Executive Director's ostimatos for 1981 contained in docunent DP/433.
19. In paragraph 7 of document DP/48\%, the Jxecutive Director estimates that the Fund 's total income in 1981 will amount to 159 million, an increase of $\$ 20$ million ( 1.4 .5 per cont) over total income in 1980 , and of approximately $\% 38$ million ( 32 per cent) over income received in 1979. According to the Pxecutive Firector the Trund's "1981 budget estimates for administrative and programme support services $(\$ 8,521,147)$ rovesent 5.4 por cent of the total budget of the Fund in 1981. If tho costs of the UNPPA ficld co-ordinators and liaison officers are added ( $\$ 5.5$ million), this percentage would bo 8.9 of total 1981 rosources" (IP/433, para. 11).
20. The Advisory Committee notes that the estimated cost of the Fund's administrative and programne support services in l981, excluding expenditure estimates for the URFPA ficle co-ordinators and liaison oflicers, is oxpectod to be 59.8 and 27.7 per cont higher than the 1979 and 1900 appropriations respectively (see Table folloving para. I2 above). The Advisory Comittee notes further that the cost estimetes of the Fund's field co-ordinators and liaison officers in 1981 show an increase of 15.6 per cont over 1930 and 49.0 per cont over 1979 (DP/483. Table II-I and DP/393, Table II-1). 5/ The Fund's administrative and programme support services budget together with the cost of field co-ordinators and liaison officers will absorb 8.9 per cent of total expected income in 1981 as compared to 3.2 per cont in 1080 and 7.5 per cont in 1979. Ghould income in 1981 fall below the level of $\$ 159$ million estimated by the Executive inirector, aministrativo costs would elosorb an evon higher proportion of the inconc.
21. In the light of the foregoing, the Advisory Comittoo has concluded that the expected growth of the Fund's resources does not justify a 14 per cent increase in 1981 oucr the 1980 approved staffine table (sos Table following paragraph 18 above) as proposed by the Erecutive ifirector. On the other hand, the Committon recognizes that increased project delivory requires somo strengthening of the priority areas of administrative and programe support services. The Comittee's recommendations on individual nev posts requested by the Executive Director are contained in the following paragrephs.

## Executive Dircction and Manamement

22. With regard to the Rxecutive Director's request for ono Aswistant Reports Officer post at the $P-2 / 1$ leval to assist inter alia "in performing the expanding oditorial review functions of the office" (DP/483, para. 28 (a)), . the Advisory Comitteo recalls that last year the Govorning Council, on the Committee's recommendation, 6/ did not aporove 7/ the ravest for a G-5 lovel

5 The Advisory Committee's comments on the Fund's fisld comordinators and liaison officer costs for 1981 are containod in paracrachs 34 to 38 below.

6/ DP/413, pare. 27.
I/ Decision 79/23, Part II, operative paragraph 1 .
post for the performance of the functions now ascribed to the proposed P-2/I post. In ohis connexion the Adviwry Comittoo poirds out that the publications programe of UINA contines to be dividad botvecn the office of the Executive Director and the Information and Public Affairs Division despite the Committeo's recommendation that "this situation bo reviewed in the interest of optimun utilization of staff". 8/Furthermore, in the Adwisory Comittes's oninion, the worklood increasos projected in Tables I-4 and I-II of doconent DP/483 in regard to the number of vages/conies/issues of publications to be mrocessed in 1981 do not varrant the provision of additionel staffing resources. Gimilarly, the workload projections for the Office of the Executivo Director (DP/483, Table I-4) 'do not show any significant over-all increase. Accordingly, the Committee recommends that the reauest for one $\mathrm{P}-2 / 1$ post and one $\mathrm{G}-4 / 2$ clerk/typist post not be approved.

## Administration and Finance Vivision

23. The ropresentatives of the Txecutive Diroctor gave the Advisory Committee additional information on the deployment of staff who are currently performing the functions in the areas described in paragraph 33 ( 0 ) of document $D P / 483$. Nevertheless, the nuvisory Committee is of the opinion that the projected increase in workload (UP/483, Teble I-8) does not justify the approval of all the additional posts for the Division ( 25.8 per cent over $1980-15 / 483$, Table $I-7$ ). The Committee recalls that the Governing Council approved throo additional posts (one G-5 Frincipal accounts clerk; one G-5 Persomel assistant and one G-4/2 Allocation olerk) I/ for 1980. Accordingly, it recomends that of the eight now posts roquested for the Division in 1981 (one $\mathrm{P}-3$, one $\mathrm{P}-2 / 1$, one $\mathrm{G}-5$, four $\mathrm{G}-4 / 2$ and one $\mathrm{G}-2$ ) a. $G-4 / 2$ post be approved for a clerk "to operate a telac service at UNFPA Headquartons and assist in other internal administrative services" (DP/483, vara. 3 (c)). In this connexion, the Ldvisory Cmmitteo notes that this tolex clerk is alroady on boord en charged againet temporary assistanco funds.

3/ DP/413, para. 17
2/ Decision 79/28, Part II, operative paragraph 1 .

## Information and Public Affairs Division

24. For the reasons stated by the Adviscry Committee in paragraph 22 above regarding the Pund's publication programe and in particular the need to review present organizational arrangements so as to make best use of existing staff resources, the Committee recommends that the Goveming Council not approve the reguest for a new Ci-4/2 level Diatribution clenk post for the Division.

## Programme Division

25. The Executive Director requests 9 new posts for this Division (one $\mathbf{P}-4$, three $P-2 / 1$ and five $G-4 / 2$ ). Three of the posts, all at the $P-2 / 1$ level, are for the geographical branches for Africa, Asia and the Midale East. In this connexion the Advisory Committee was informed by representatives of the Executive Director that the two $P-2 / 1$ posts approved by tho Goveming Council for the Division in 1980 10/had been assigned to the Africa and Asia geographical branchos. Bearing in mind the increase in the Fund's project activity the fivisory Committee recommends that the Goveming Council approve the three new $\mathrm{P}-2 / 1$ posts.
26. In regard to the request for one P-A levol post for a Plans and Operations Officer, the Advisory Committee is of the opinion that existing resources and in-house expertise should be adequate to carry out the tasks onvisaged for the new post (DP/483, para. 43 (a)). Accordingly, the Advisory Committee recommends that the Goveming Council not approve this requost. Boaring in mind that the Governing Council had outhorized the strengthening of the Goneral Service staff of the Division by 6 posts (three $(-.5$ and three $(-4 / 2)$ for 1980, $11 /$ the Advisory Comittee recommends thet of the five posts recuested for 1901 , two be approved (both G-4/2).

## Cffice of Policy Anelysis and Statistios

27. This Office now has 9 Professicnal posts (DP/483, Table I-14). The Advisory Committee notes that the workload projoctions for 1981 show little increase over 1980 (ibid., Table I-18). In view of the foregoing, the Advisory Comittee recommend that the new P-2/1 Icvol Assistant Policy Rescarch Officer post reguested by the Executive Director for tho Office for 1981 and the related $G-4 / 2$ clerk-typist post not bo granted.

## Reclassifications

28. The reclassifications requested by the Executive Director (see paragraph 16 above) correspond to the reclassification of rearly onc in eight posts on the UNFPA's staffing table for 1980. The Advisory Comittee has consistently maintained that reclassification of a post presupposes a change in the job content of the post and should not bo confused with the pronotion of staff. In its consideration of the reclassification requests submitted by the Executive Director for 1981 in document $D P / 483$, the Advisory Comittce noted that in several instances, the reguests were said to be "in recognition of increased responsibilities and experience" or "in reoognition of the experience of the incumbent". The Advisory Committee further observes that not only are 11 of the 19 reclassifications requests for 1981 resubmissions of requests previcusly denied by the Governing Council 12/(see foot-note at bottom of table following paragraph 16);
but also the auporting toxts ero virtually verbatim repeats of those contained in document $D P / 393$. In viev os the fact that no now evidence has boen adduced by the Bxecutive Dincotor in suppor of his roclasisifioation requeste the
Advisory Committee recomonds thet the Governing Council not approvo the proposed reolassification of the frllowine posts: (at the Profossional level) four P-4 to
 Principal level G-
29. With regard to the Breative Director's request to reciassify the post of the Assistant Brecutive Director from the D-? Level to that of Assistant Socrotamy-Goneral (DP/483. paragraph 9 (a) to (j)) the Advisory Committoe is of the viev that the reprosentation and liaison functions of the post are in fact being carried out also by the Deputy Wrecutive Directore of the Fund and that the substantive aspocts of tho post as lesoribed are not new or axpanded. The Advisomy Committeo also rocalls that tho Bxocutivo Dirootor had submitted a request for the reclassirication of thin post in $197613 /$ and that the Comittee had rocomondod against it in its roport at thet tino. If/ hocordingly, the Advisory Comittoe zecommonds that the Goveminc Comocil not approve the Executivo Directoris reguest.
30. The Advisomy Comittee hes no objeotion to the Executive Director's request to reolessify the two rocts of tho Chiefs of the Africa Branch and the Mediterrancan and Midole Best Branch in the Progremme Division (DP/AS3, para. 43 (d)) from the P-5 to D..l lovel in 1981.
31. In paragrephs 22 to 27 above tho Advisory Committee has recommended that of the 22 nev poste requested by the fixecutive Diroctor, 6 (three p--2/1 and three (-4/2) be granted. This recommondation, if approved by the Goveming Council, wili entail a reduction of tio estimates by $\$ 272,650$ net at Jnited Nations standard ratos (after applying a 5 por cent bolayed rocmitment factor). $15 /$ The consequential reduction in general operating expenses (accommodetion, fumiture and equipment, offico supplios and communcations) would amount to $\$ 87,21016 /$ at stondard Thited Nations rates.
32. Should the Govoming Council acocpt the Advisory Comittoe's recommendations in paragraph 30 above that two roclassifications (both from P. 5 to D-I) be approved, the consequential reduction in the estimato for reclessifications would amount to $\$ 104,300$ at stanlerd Thitod Netions rotes. 17/
33. Thus, the totel reduction in tho TNHPA's aministrative and programme support sorvices budget for 1981 recommended by the hdvisory Comittee amounts to \$464, 160 at standard United Nations rateso $18 /$

13/ DP/188, para. A (a).
14/DP/205, pares. 9 to 13.
15/ The Advicory Comittee has boon informed that UnPA doos not use standard rates in computing buiget ostimatos. Tho amount will, therefore, have to bo adjusted to refleot the rates used in document DP/i83.
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## C. UNTPPA Field Co-ordinator and Liaison Officer Budgets

34. In part II, operative paragraph 3 , of decision $79 / 28$, the Goveming Council reaffirmed:
"That UNFPA shall continue tho practice of funding Iield cowcrdinator and liaison officer posts from projoct funds and of including the data on such posts in the UNYPA administrative and programme support budgets for information purposes:"
35. The Executive Director provides information on UNPPA fiold co-ordinator and liaison officer costs in part II, paragraphs 51 to 57 and Tables II-I to 3 of document $D P / 483$. In paragraph 56 he states that "the number of field co-ordinator and liaison officer posts established for 1980 are 40 and will increase to 42 in 1981". Two new field co-ordinator posts are to be sstablishod in Mozambiquo and Papuamew Guinea (TP/483. para. 56); both these posts will bo at the I-f level according to Table II-3 in documont $D P / 483$. On that basis one would have expected Table II-2 in documont DP/483 to show an increase in the number of L-m posts from 14 to 16 , and no change in the numbor of posts at other lovels. Yot that is not the casc. The totals for 1981 ir Table II-2 reveal two reclassifications (one fron L-4 to L-5 and one from L--5 to L-6) comparca to tho situation in 1980. A different picture emorges from a comparison of Tablo II-3 in docunent DP/483 with Teiole II- 3 in document DP/390; in this case the increase in the number of Iu6 co-ordinators is two (six compared to four).
36. The Excotive Diroctor estimates tho buagot for ficld co-ordinator and liaison officer posts, including travel, gquipment end othoz servioo costs at $\$ 5.5$ million for 1981, an increase of 15.6 per cent over the 1980 budget of \$4. 8 million (DP/433, paragraph 56). He attributes the increase to his request to establish two new posts and to inflation (ibid. paragraph 56). The
Excoutive Director further states that theso "costs are maintainod within the ceiling of 8.9 per cent of the approval authority for the year, established by the Executive Director for the administrative and programe aupport buaget at Headcuarters and for field co-orainatom and liaison cficicer budgets in the field." (ibid., paragraph 55).
37. The Advisory Committee recalls in this connexion that in his submission for 1980, the Executive Director had established a ceiling of 7.9 per cent for such costs (DP/398, paragraph 52) as follows:

1980 anticipated resources (DP/398, para. 8)
1980 administrative and programmo support services budget (DP/398, pare. 11)

1980 fiold comordinator and liaison officon budget (DP/398, para. 53)
\$138 million

$$
\$ 6,848,957
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 3,998.070 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
410,347,027
$$

$$
\text { or } 7.9 \text { per cent }
$$

The data in document DP/483 make it clear that the ceiling of
7.9 per cont is being cxceoded:

1980 anticipated resources (DP/483, para. 7)
\$139miliion
1980 approved administrative and programme support services budget (DP/483, para. 11)
$\$ 6,672,697$
1980 field comordinator and liaison officer budget (DP/483, Table II-I)
\$4,762,870
\$11,435,567
or 8.2 per cent
38. A comparison of the two sets of figures shows that the field cowordinator and liaison officer budget for 1980 as given in document DP/483 is neariy $\$ 765,000$ higher than in document $D P / 398$. This increase by nearly one fifth is nowhere cxplained. The 1981 estimate of 5.5 million is thus $\$ 1.5$ milion (approximately 37 per cent) higher than the estimate for 1980 as givon to the Goveming Council for information a year ago. Givor the rapid growth of the field comordinator and liaison officer budget the Goveming Council may wish to subject it to closer scrutiny.

## D. Transfer of Credits

39. In April 1980 the Erecutive Director sought the concurrence of the Advisory Committee in the transfor of oredits between programmes of the 1979 UNPPA administrativo and programe support services budget in a total amount of \$37,000 to programe II, Administration and public information support services, from programe I, Executive Direction and Menagement to covor inflationary increases under "genoral expenses". such as commancation charges, offico supplics and miscollaneous office soxices. The Advisory Comittoc concureed in the reguest. In this comexion, the Advisory Committee notes that in paragraph 17 of document $D P / 483$ the Executive Diroctor is asking the Goveming Council to authorize him to transfor orodits betweon programmos of the revised 1980 buaget and the 1981 budget, within reasonable limits, with the concurrence of the idvisory Comittce.
